

Public meeting held by Weston Creek Community Council

6 April 2017

SUMMARY OF WRITTEN AND VERBAL COMMENTS MADE

Some comments were detailed. Some comments contained several subjects. Some comment related to personal experience. The No below relates to the number of comments made against that subject.

Subject of Comment	No.	Selected comments
Poor communication, Lack of consultation	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The way in which we were informed was not appropriate • The government was disrespectful • We have a right to be respected • Expect fair and open communication • I was told 3 months ago there were no plans for the site • Demand procedural fairness
No difficulty with Public Housing	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should be an inclusive society, maybe it is not the right place • Will the tenants be handpicked • Is it the right site for 30 houses (Holder)
Density	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too many units on the block • Too intense • Not appropriate for successful integration into community • Need to spread around more don't want another Burnie Court • On 17 May 2015 Minister Berry spoke about 14-25 dwellings on a site • Plenty of other blocks available Why not use them (Wright)
Want proper "Pepper and Salt"	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too many houses on the site • 30 will create its own environment rather than becoming part of the neighbourhood
Poor Transport No shops	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of infrastructure not appropriate location

Subject of Comment	No.	Selected comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor bus service (Wright and Chapman) • Distance to walk to Cooleman for residents in contrast to distance from Northbourne Ave
Fire Hazard (Chapman)	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bushfire Management Plan • Why put vulnerable people in a fire risk area? • Lots of questions e.g. fire drill, building requirements
Proximity to house	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thought we had bought 'next to' a community Facility site • Impact on house values
Statements re Government	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take it or leave it attitude • Ignoring my voice • No democracy • Should look at Mr Fluffy sites for public housing • Seems to be pay back as increased Liberal vote in this area at the last Assembly election • What guarantee that Government won't take more land • Don't trust the government • It is a dictatorship
Design	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We want enhancement of the area • Blend houses in • Need to be better managed than some public housing • Query 2 storey (Holder) • Environment is a quiet street (Holder)
Need to retain space for community facilities	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The only block for community facilities in Wright • We need space for community facilities
Government regulations	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The government is changing regulations • Change the technical Amendment
Schools	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both Duffy and Chapman primary schools are full

Subject of Comment	No.	Selected comments
Traffic	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased traffic • Bottleneck in Chapman • Difficult entrance to site in Holder
Cooleman Court	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Already over used
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •